



# **Massachusetts Model System for Educator Evaluation**

## **Part III: Guide to Rubrics and Model Rubrics for Superintendent, Administrator, and Teacher**

### **Appendix B. School-Level Administrator Rubric**

---

January 2012

## Guide to School-Level Administrator Rubric

Rubrics – defined in the regulations as “scoring tool[s] that describe characteristics of practice or artifacts at different levels of performance” ([603 CMR 35.02](#)) – are a critical component of the Massachusetts educator evaluation framework and are required for every educator. Rubrics are designed to help educators and evaluators (1) develop a consistent, shared understanding of what proficient performance looks like in practice, (2) develop a common terminology and structure to organize evidence, and (3) make informed professional judgments about formative and summative performance ratings on each Standard and overall. This appendix contains the ESE Model School-Level Administrator Rubric.

### Structure of the School-Level Administrator Rubric

- **Standards:** Standards are the broad categories of knowledge, skills, and performance of effective practice detailed in the regulations. There are four Standards for administrators: *Instructional Leadership; Management and Operations; Family and Community Engagement; and Professional Culture.*
- **Indicators:** Indicators, also detailed in the regulations, describe specific knowledge, skills, and performance for each Standard. For example, there are five Indicators in Standard I of the School Administrator rubric, including *Curriculum, Instruction, and Evaluation.*
- **Elements:** The elements are more specific descriptions of actions and behaviors related to each Indicator. The elements further break down the Indicators into more specific aspects of educator practice and provide an opportunity for evaluators to offer detailed feedback that serves as a roadmap for improvement.
- **Descriptors:** Performance descriptors are observable and measurable statements of educator actions and behaviors aligned to each element and serve as the basis for identifying the level of teaching or administrative performance in one of four categories: *Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient, or Exemplary.*

### Use of the School-Level Administrator Rubric

This rubric describes administrative leadership practice at the school level. It is intended to be used throughout the 5 step evaluation cycle for the evaluation of principals by the superintendent (or the superintendent’s designee). The rubric can also be used in the evaluation of other school based leaders (such as assistant principals, department heads, deans, etc.) by the principal or other district administrator.

The responsibilities of administrators to whom this rubric will be applied may vary. ESE encourages administrators and evaluators to use the rubric strategically by discussing and agreeing upon certain Indicators and elements that should be high priorities according to that administrator’s role and responsibilities as well as his/her professional practice, student learning, and school improvement goals. There are a variety of ways to emphasize these components throughout the evaluation cycle. For example, high priority Indicators and/or elements can be analyzed in greater depth during self-assessment, targeted during goal setting, a focus for more comprehensive evidence collection, or all of the above. However, the expectation is that by the end of the evaluation cycle, administrators and evaluators have gathered and shared a reasonable amount of evidence on every Indicator to support a rating for each Standard.

## School-Level Administrator Rubric At-A-Glance

Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Standard II: Management & Operations	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
<p><b>A. Curriculum Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Standards-Based Unit Design</li> <li>Lesson Development Support</li> </ol> <p><b>B. Instruction Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Instructional Practices</li> <li>Quality of Effort &amp; Work</li> <li>Diverse Learners' Needs</li> </ol> <p><b>C. Assessment Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variety of Assessments</li> <li>Adjustment to Practice</li> </ol> <p><b>D. Evaluation Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Educator Goals</li> <li>Observations &amp; Feedback</li> <li>Ratings</li> <li>Alignment Review</li> </ol> <p><b>E. Data-Informed Decision Making Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Knowledge &amp; Use of Data</li> <li>School and District Goals</li> <li>Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning</li> </ol>	<p><b>A. Environment Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Plans, Procedures, and Routines</li> <li>Operational Systems</li> <li>Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs</li> </ol> <p><b>B. Human Resources Management &amp; Development Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recruitment &amp; Hiring Strategies</li> <li>Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies</li> </ol> <p><b>C. Scheduling &amp; Management Information Systems Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Time for Teaching and Learning</li> <li>Time for Collaboration</li> </ol> <p><b>D. Law, Ethics &amp; Policies Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Laws and Policies</li> <li>Ethical Behavior</li> </ol> <p><b>E. Fiscal Systems Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Fiscal Systems</li> </ol>	<p><b>A. Engagement Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Family Engagement</li> <li>Community and Business Engagement</li> </ol> <p><b>B. Sharing Responsibility Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Student Support</li> <li>Family Collaboration</li> </ol> <p><b>C. Communication Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Two-Way Communication</li> <li>Culturally Proficient Communication</li> </ol> <p><b>D. Family Concerns Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Family Concerns</li> </ol>	<p><b>A. Commitment to High Standards Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Commitment to High Standards</li> <li>Mission and Core Values</li> <li>Meetings</li> </ol> <p><b>B. Cultural Proficiency Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Policies and Practices</li> </ol> <p><b>C. Communications Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Communication Skills</li> </ol> <p><b>D. Continuous Learning Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continuous Learning of Staff</li> <li>Continuous Learning of Administrator</li> </ol> <p><b>E. Shared Vision Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Shared Vision Development</li> </ol> <p><b>F. Managing Conflict Indicator</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Response to Disagreement</li> <li>Conflict Resolution</li> <li>Consensus Building</li> </ol>



# Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

## School-Level Administrator Rubric

**Standard I: Instructional Leadership.** *The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by cultivating a shared vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.*

<b>Indicator I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all teachers design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measurable outcomes.</b>				
<b>I-A. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
I-A-1. Standards-Based Unit Design	Does not set the expectation that educators plan standards-based units of instruction, provide adequate resources or support for this activity, and/or monitor or assess progress.	Gives educators resources on how to use a backward design approach to planning standards-based units and checks that teachers engage in instructional planning. Sometimes monitors and assesses progress and provides feedback.	<b>Provides support and assistance for educators and teams to use a backward design approach to plan standards-based units with measurable outcomes and challenging tasks requiring higher-order thinking. Frequently monitors and assesses progress, providing feedback as necessary.</b>	Empowers staff to create with a backward design approach rigorous standards-based units of instruction that are aligned across grade levels and content areas. Continually monitors and assesses progress, provides feedback, and connects educators to additional supports as needed. Is able to model this element.
I-A-2. Lesson Development Support	Does not state expectations for the development of well-structured lessons, provide support to educators, and/or discriminate between strong and weak lesson-planning practices.	Provides limited training to educators on how to develop well-structured lessons and/or does not consistently address patterns of weak lesson development practices.	<b>Supports educators to develop well-structured lessons with challenging, measurable objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, activities, materials, technologies, and grouping.</b>	Supports educators to collaborate on developing a series of interconnected, well-structured lessons with challenging objectives and appropriate student engagement strategies, pacing, sequence, materials, and grouping and identifies specific exemplars and resources in each area. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator I-B. Instruction: Ensures that instructional practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.</b>				
<b>I-B. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
I-B-1. Instructional Practices	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify more than a few effective teaching strategies and practices.	While observing practice and reviewing unit plans, occasionally looks for evidence of or accurately identifies appropriate teaching strategies and practices.	<b>While observing practice and reviewing unit plans, looks for and identifies a variety of effective teaching strategies and practices.</b>	Ensures, through observation and review of unit plans, that teachers know and employ effective teaching strategies and practices while teaching their content. Is able to model this element.
I-B-2. Quality of Effort and Work	Does not set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and/or student work schoolwide, or expectations are inappropriate.	May set high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work schoolwide but allows expectations to be inconsistently applied across the school.	<b>Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work schoolwide and supports educators to uphold these expectations consistently.</b>	Sets and models high expectations for the quality of content, student effort, and student work schoolwide and empowers educators and students to uphold these expectations consistently. Is able to model this element.
I-B-3. Diverse Learners' Needs	Does not look for evidence of and/or cannot accurately identify more than a few effective teaching strategies and practices.	While observing practice and reviewing unit plans, occasionally looks for evidence of or accurately identifies teaching strategies and practices that are appropriate for diverse learners.	<b>While observing practice and reviewing unit plans, looks for and identifies a variety of teaching strategies and practices that are effective with diverse learners.</b>	Ensures, through observation and review of unit plans, that teachers know and employ teaching strategies and practices that are effective with diverse learners while teaching their content. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all teachers use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.</b>				
<b>I-C. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
I-C-1. Variety of Assessments	Does not communicate or monitor a strategy for assessments, leaving it up to educators to design and implement their own assessments.	Provides educators with some formal assessment options and suggests that they coordinate their assessment practices within their teams and include a variety of assessments but does not monitor this practice.	<b>Supports educator teams to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments, including common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas.</b>	Leads educator teams to develop and implement a comprehensive assessment strategy that includes ongoing informal assessment and common interim assessments that are aligned across grade levels and subject areas. Is able to model this element.
I-C-2. Adjustment to Practice	Does not encourage or facilitate teams to review assessment data.	Suggests that teams meet to review data and plan for adjustments and interventions but inconsistently monitors this practice.	<b>Provides planning time and effective support for teams to review assessment data and identify appropriate interventions and adjustments to practice. Monitors educators' efforts and successes in this area.</b>	Plans, facilitates, and supports team review meetings after each round of assessments. Monitors teams' plans, adjustments to instruction, and outcomes and shares lessons learned with others. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

Indicator I-D. Evaluation: Provides effective and timely supervision and evaluation in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions, including:				
1. Ensures that educators pursue meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice and student learning goals. 2. Makes frequent unannounced visits to classrooms and gives targeted and constructive feedback to teachers. 3. Exercises sound judgment in assigning ratings for performance and impact on student learning. 4. Reviews alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning, growth, or achievement when evaluating and rating educators and understands that the supervisor has the responsibility to confirm the rating in cases in which a discrepancy exists.				
I-D. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-D-1. Educator Goals	Does not support educators to develop professional practice and/or student learning goals, review the goals for quality, and/or support educators in attaining goals.	Supports educators and educator teams to develop professional practice and student learning goals but does not consistently review them for quality and/or monitor progress.	<b>Supports educators and educator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice and student learning goals.</b>	Supports educators and educator teams to develop and attain meaningful, actionable, and measurable professional practice and student learning goals and models this process through the leader's own evaluation process and goals. Is able to model this element.
I-D-2. Observations and Feedback	Observes educators only in formal observation visits and/or does not provide honest feedback to educators who are not performing proficiently.	Makes infrequent unannounced visits to classrooms, rarely provides feedback that is specific and constructive, and/or critiques struggling educators without providing support to improve their performance.	<b>Typically makes at least two unannounced visits to classrooms every day and provides targeted constructive feedback to all educators. Acknowledges effective practice and provides redirection and support for those whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i>.</b>	Makes multiple unannounced visits to classrooms every day and provides targeted constructive feedback within 48 hours. Engages with all educators in conversations about improvement, celebrates effective practice, and provides targeted support to educators whose practice is less than <i>Proficient</i> . Is able to model this element.

## Guide to School-Level Administrator Rubric

I-D-3. Ratings	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning without collecting and analyzing sufficient and/or appropriate data or does not assign ratings for some educators.	Assigns ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning in a way that is not consistently transparent to educators.	<b>Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning and ensures that educators understand why they received their ratings.</b>	Exercises sound and reliable judgment in assigning ratings for performance, goal attainment, and impact on student learning. Ensures that educators understand in detail why they received their ratings and provides effective support to colleagues around this practice Is able to model this element.
I-D-4. Alignment Review	Does not review alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating educators.	Occasionally reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data.	<b>Consistently reviews alignment between judgment about practice and student learning data and makes informed decisions about educator support and evaluation based upon this review.</b>	Studies alignment between judgment about practice and data about student learning when evaluating and rating educators and provides effective support to colleagues around this practice. Is able to model this element.



## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning, including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data, to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.</b>				
<b>I-E. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
I-E-1. Knowledge and Use of Data	Relies on few data sources that do not represent the full picture of school performance and/or does not analyze the data accurately.	May identify multiple sources of student learning data but these data do not provide multiple perspectives on performance and/or analysis of the data is sometimes inaccurate.	<b>Identifies a range of appropriate data sources and effectively analyzes the data for decision-making purposes.</b>	Leads educator teams to identify a range of appropriate data sources, including non-traditional information that offers a unique perspective on school performance, and models effective data analysis for staff. Is able to model this element.
I-E-2. School and District Goals	Gathers limited information on the school's strengths and weaknesses and/or does not use these data to inform school plans or actions.	Assesses the school's strengths and weaknesses using data that are not carefully analyzed and/or writes an unfocused strategic plan.	<b>Uses data to accurately assess the school's strengths and areas for improvement to inform the creation of focused, measurable school and district goals.</b>	Involves stakeholders in a comprehensive diagnosis of the school's strengths and weaknesses using appropriate data and leads a collaborative process to develop a focused, results-oriented strategic plan with annual goals. Is able to model this element.
I-E-3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning	Does not share assessment data with faculty, use data to make adjustments to school plans, and/or model appropriate data analysis strategies.	Shares limited data with faculty to identify student and/or educator subgroups that need support; provides limited assistance to educator teams in using data to improve performance.	<b>Uses multiple data sources to evaluate educator and school performance. Provides educator teams with disaggregated assessment data and assists faculty in identifying students who need additional support.</b>	Leads teams to disaggregate data and identify individuals or groups of students who need support. Empowers educators to use a range of data sources to pinpoint areas for their own and schoolwide improvement. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

**Standard II: Management and Operations.** *Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a safe, efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling*

<b>Indicator II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, and emotional and social needs of students.</b>				
<b>II-A. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
II-A-1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines	Does not organize the school effectively for orderly and efficient movement of students.	Establishes plans, procedures, and routines but student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess are not consistently orderly and/or efficient.	<b>Establishes and implements plans, procedures, and routines that generally ensure orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess.</b>	Establishes systems, plans, procedures, and routines that empower students and staff to implement orderly and efficient student entry, dismissal, meals, class transitions, assemblies, and recess. Is able to model this element.
II-A-2. Operational Systems	Inadequately supervises or supports custodial and/or other staff so that the campus is not generally clean, attractive, welcoming, and/or safe.	Provides inconsistent supervision and/or support of custodial and other staff, resulting in a campus that is not consistently clean, attractive, welcoming, or safe.	<b>Supervises and supports custodial, clerical, food services, and other staff effectively so that the campus is clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe.</b>	Creates and maintains a school environment in which custodial and other staff take personal responsibility for keeping the campus clean, attractive, welcoming, and safe. Is able to model this element.
II-A-3. Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs	Leaves student discipline largely up to teachers to address on their own or totally delegates to an assistant. Often tolerates discipline violations and enforces the rules inconsistently.	Urges staff to demand good student behavior but allows varying standards to exist in different classrooms and common areas. Addresses student discipline and bullying matters on a case-by-case basis.	<b>Demonstrates high expectations for student behavior and provides appropriate training for staff to uphold these expectations. Establishes schoolwide routines and consequences, including policies and systems to prevent and address bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being.</b>	Consistently showcases high expectations for student behavior and invests staff and students in upholding these expectations. Successfully implements schoolwide routines and consequences such that students take ownership over addressing bullying and other behaviors that threaten students' social and emotional well-being. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruitment, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.</b>				
<b>II-B. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
II-B-1. Recruitment and Hiring Strategies	Does not successfully lead the recruitment and hiring process.	Leads the recruitment and hiring process but does not consistently identify effective educators.	<b>Leads the school's recruitment and hiring process and, through it, consistently identifies effective educators who share the school's mission.</b>	Consistently identifies effective educators who share the school's mission. Empowers faculty members to share in a structured, consistent interview process. Is able to model this element.
II-B-2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies	Does not support new teachers, organize high-quality job-embedded professional development, and/or support the career growth of effective educators.	Develops only a limited school-based induction program for new teachers and/or inconsistently implements the district's induction strategy; organizes job-embedded professional development that is not consistently high quality or aligned with goals; and/or does not consistently support effective educators' career growth.	<b>Develops school-based induction support for new teachers and/or faithfully implements the district's induction strategy; organizes high-quality job-embedded professional development aligned with school and educator goals; and supports the career growth of effective educators by distributing leadership tasks and monitoring progress and development.</b>	Facilitates the educator-led design and implementation of induction support, job-embedded professional development, and career growth support all of which are aligned with school and educator goals, and are consistently viewed by educators as effective and helpful. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of time for teaching, learning, and collaboration.</b>				
<b>II-C. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
II-C-1. Time for Teaching and Learning	Does not create a master schedule and/or related systems to maximize blocks of uninterrupted instructional time.	Creates a master schedule and related systems that set aside instructional time but does not effectively eliminate unnecessary interruptions to instruction.	<b>Creates a master schedule and related systems to maximize blocks of uninterrupted instructional time and eliminate unnecessary interruptions to instruction.</b>	Creates, implements, and regularly adjusts a master schedule and related systems to maximize blocks of uninterrupted instructional time and eliminate unnecessary interruptions to instruction. Empowers staff to do the same. Is able to model this element.
II-C-2. Time for Collaboration	Sets unrealistic expectations for team meetings if at all and/or does not create a schedule that provides adequate meeting time for teams. Does not work to prevent or deflect time-wasting activities.	Sets inconsistent expectations for team meetings and/or creates a schedule that only provides adequate meeting time for some teams. Works to prevent or deflect activities with limited success.	<b>Sets expectations for team meetings and creates a schedule that provides sufficient meeting time for all teams. Prevents or deflects activities that prevent staff from focusing on student learning during team time.</b>	Is transparent and forthcoming about expectations for all team meetings. Creates and implements a schedule that maximizes meeting time for all teams. Effectively prevents time-wasting activities. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator II-D. Laws, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.</b>				
<b>II-D. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
II-D-1. Laws and Policies	Demonstrates lack of awareness or consistent non-compliance with some or all state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, or collective bargaining agreements.	May know state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements but inconsistently complies with some laws or policies.	<b>Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements.</b>	Invests staff in understanding and complying with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, and collective bargaining agreements. Is able to model this element.
II-D-2. Ethical Behavior	Demonstrates lack of sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness and/or does not adequately protect student, family, and/or staff confidentiality.	Generally demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness with occasional lapses in judgment and/or does not always protect student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately.	<b>Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately; and expects staff to do both as well.</b>	Reliably demonstrates sound judgment reflecting integrity and fairness; protects student, family, and staff confidentiality appropriately. Effectively supports all staff to do both as well. Is able to model this element.

<b>Indicator II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources.</b>				
<b>II-E. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
II-E-1. Fiscal Systems	Builds a budget that does not align with the district's goals or mismanages available resources.	Develops a budget that loosely aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals or inconsistently manages expenditures and available resources.	<b>Develops a budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals. Allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals and available resources.</b>	Leads a team to develop a budget that aligns with the district's vision, mission, and goals with supporting rationale; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district/school-level goals; and seeks alternate funding sources as needed. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

**Standard III: Family and Community Engagement.** *Promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff through effective partnerships with families, community organizations, and other stakeholders that support the mission of the school and district.*

<b>Indicator III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that all families are welcome members of the classroom and school community and can contribute to the classroom, school, and community's effectiveness.</b>				
<b>III-A. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
III-A-1. Family Engagement	Does little to welcome families as members of the classroom or school community or tolerates an environment that is unwelcoming to some families.	Makes some attempts to welcome families as members of the classroom and school community but does not consistently use culturally sensitive practices and/or work to identify and remove barriers to family involvement.	<b>Uses culturally sensitive practices to ensure that all families are welcome and can contribute to the classroom, school and community's effectiveness. Works with staff to identify and remove barriers to families' involvement, including families whose home language is not English.</b>	Uses culturally sensitive practices and successfully engages most families, ensuring that all families are welcome and can contribute to classroom, school, and community effectiveness. Works with staff, families, and organizations to identify and remove barriers to family involvement, including families whose home language is not English. Is able to model this element.
III-A-2. Community and Business Engagement	Limits work to the immediate context of the school. Does not make efforts to reach out to community organizations, community members, or businesses that could otherwise contribute to school effectiveness.	Engages some community organizations, community members, and/or businesses in annual school events but does not make efforts to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for school effectiveness.	<b>Establishes ongoing relationships with community organizations, community members, and businesses. Engages them to increase their involvement to maximize community contributions for school effectiveness.</b>	Establishes strategic partnerships with community organizations, community members, and businesses that improve school effectiveness. Works to increase the types and number of organizations with whom the school partners in order to deepen relationships and increase partner contributions. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families to support student learning and development both at home and at school.</b>				
<b>III-B. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
III-B-1. Student Support	Does not work with educators to identify student needs, does not work with families to address student needs, and/or does not draw upon internal or external resources.	Asks educators to identify students struggling academically or behaviorally and/or works with a limited number of families to address student needs, utilizing a limited set of resources.	<b>Supports educators to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with families to address student needs, utilizing resources within and outside of the school.</b>	Models for educators how to identify each student's academic, social, emotional, and behavioral needs, including students with disabilities and English learners. Collaborates with families to effectively address student needs and prevent further challenges, connecting students with a network of resources within and outside the school. Is able to model this element.
III-B-2. Family Collaboration	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to educators to regularly communicate with families on ways to support their children's learning at home and at school.	Sets general expectations and provides occasional support to educators to engage families in supporting their children's learning at school and at home and/or supporting their children with disabilities or limited English proficiency.	<b>Sets clear expectations for and supports educators to regularly engage families in supporting learning at school and home, including appropriate adaptation for students with disabilities or limited English proficiency.</b>	Sets clear expectations and provides differentiated support to educators to ensure that they regularly engage all families in supporting their children's learning at school and home, including families and children with limited English proficiency and/or children with disabilities. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families about student learning and performance.</b>				
<b>III-C. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
III-C-1. Two-Way Communication	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to educator to communicate with families. School and classroom communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through report cards.	May set expectations for and provide limited support to educators to communicate with families but does not stress the importance of two-way communication channels. School and classroom communication regarding student learning and performance primarily occurs through newsletters and other one-way media.	<b>Sets clear expectations for and provides support to educators to communicate regularly with families using two-way communication channels, including careful and prompt response to communications from families. Supports educators to maximize the number of face-to-face family/teacher interactions.</b>	Sets clear expectations for and provides differentiated support to ensure that all educators design and implement frequent personalized communications, respond carefully and promptly to communications from families, and solicit feedback from families that informs improvement to communication plans. Is able to model this element.
III-C-2. Culturally Proficient Communication	Does not set clear expectations for or provide support to educators regarding culturally sensitive communication and/or allows inappropriate disrespectful communication with families that ignores different family cultural norms.	May set expectations for educators regarding culturally sensitive communication but does not provide support to educators and/or occasionally communicates in ways that are culturally insensitive to some families' home language, culture, and values.	<b>Sets clear expectations for and provides support to educators regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that school and classroom communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding of and sensitivity to different families' home language, culture, and values.</b>	Sets clear expectations for, models, and provides differentiated support regarding culturally sensitive communication. Ensures that school and classroom communication with families is always respectful and demonstrates understanding and appreciation of different families' home language, culture, and values. Is able to model this element.



## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.</b>				
<b>III-D. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
III-D-1. Family Concerns	Inconsistently contacts families in response to concerns, and agreed-upon solutions are often not in the best interest of students.	May address concerns with families as they arise, but agreed-upon solutions are not always in the best interest of students.	<b>Reaches out to families as concerns arise and works to reach equitable solutions in the best interest of students.</b>	Reaches out to families proactively and as soon as concerns arise and effectively reaches equitable solutions that satisfy families, faculty, and staff and are in the best interest of students. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

**Standard IV: Professional Culture.** *Promotes success for all students by nurturing and sustaining a school culture of reflective practice, high expectations, and continuous learning for staff.*

<b>Indicator IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all, including:</b>				
1. Mission and core values: Develops, promotes, and secures staff commitment to core values that guide the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision making. 2. Meetings: Plans and leads well-run and engaging meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations about important school matters				
<b>IV-A. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
IV-A-1. Commitment to High Standards	Does not encourage high standards of teaching and learning or high expectations for achievement and/or may demonstrate low expectations for staff.	May ask for a commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all but does not support and/or model it.	<b>Fosters a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all.</b>	Leads faculty in developing a shared commitment to high standards of teaching and learning with high expectations for achievement for all. Revisits and renews commitment with faculty regularly. Is able to model this element.
IV-A-2. Mission and Core Values	Does not develop core values and mission statements for the school.	May develop core values and mission statements but rarely uses them to guide decision making.	<b>Develops, promotes, and models commitment to core values that guides the development of a succinct, results-oriented mission statement and ongoing decision making.</b>	Leads faculty to develop core values and mission statements, shares these statements with families and the school community, and uses them to guide decision making. Is able to model this element.
IV-A-3. Meetings	Leads meetings that lack clear purpose and/or are primarily used for one-way informational updates.	Leads meetings that include both one-way informational updates and participatory activities focused on matters of consequence.	<b>Plans and leads well-run and engaging meetings that have clear purpose, focus on matters of consequence, and engage participants in a thoughtful and productive series of conversations and deliberations about important school matters.</b>	Plans and facilitates staff-led, engaging meetings in which small groups of educators learn together and create solutions to instructional issues. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

#### Indicator IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.

IV-B. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-B-1. Policies and Practices	Develops and implements culturally insensitive or inappropriate policies, does not support staff in building cultural proficiency, and/or creates a culture that minimizes the importance of individual differences.	Takes pride in having a diverse faculty and/or student body, but some policies are not culturally sensitive and/or provides limited resources for educators to support the development of cultural proficiency.	<b>Develops and implements culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of students and staff. Provides staff with relevant resources to support them in building cultural proficiency and promotes a culture that affirms individual differences.</b>	Leads stakeholders to develop and implement culturally sensitive policies that acknowledge the diverse backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges of students and staff. Empowers staff with time, resources, and support to build cultural proficiency and collaborates with community members to create a culture that affirms individual differences. Is able to model this element.

#### Indicator IV-C. Communications: Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written and verbal communication skills.

IV-C. Elements	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-C-1. Communication Skills	Demonstrates ineffectual interpersonal, written, or verbal communication skills at times.	May demonstrate adequate interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills but sometimes makes grammatical errors or has difficulty expressing ideas to stakeholders.	<b>Demonstrates strong interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills.</b>	Demonstrates strong context- and audience-specific interpersonal, written, and verbal communication skills. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices and theory to continuously adapt instruction and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in the administrator's own practice.</b>				
<b>IV-D. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
IV-D-1. Continuous Learning of Staff	Accepts the practice of educators working largely in isolation, without consideration of data and best practices, or discourages reflection among staff.	May encourage educators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of instruction and interactions with students and to use data and best practices to adapt instruction but does not support educators in these practices.	<b>Leads all educators and teams to reflect on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students. Ensures that staff use data, research, and best practices to adapt instruction to achieve improved results.</b>	Models for educators how to reflect on the effectiveness of lessons, units, and interactions with students and uses data, research, and best practices to adapt instruction to achieve improved results. Supports all educators to work in teams as often as is feasible and appropriate. Is able to model this element.
IV-D-2. Continuous Learning of Administrator	Does not reflect on personal practice or demonstrate new ways of thinking about administration and leadership.	Occasionally reflects on personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and/or researches ways to improve efficiency and practice.	<b>Reflects on and improves personal practice, sets meaningful goals, and develops new approaches in order to improve the efficiency and practices of the school.</b>	Demonstrates openness and commitment to learning; reflects on personal practice; and relies on student data, current research, and best practice to improve own leadership. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator IV-E. Shared Vision: Continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become responsible citizens and community contributors.</b>				
<b>IV-E. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
IV-E-1. Shared Vision Development	Does not engage stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision, or the vision is disconnected from college and career readiness, civic engagement, and/or community contributions.	Engages staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on some aspects of student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.	<b>At all grade levels, continuously engages staff, students, families, and community members in developing a vision focused on student preparation for college and career readiness, civic engagement, and community contributions.</b>	Leads staff, students of all ages, families, and community members to develop and internalize a shared educational vision around preparation for college and careers and responsible citizenship. Is able to model this element.

## Standards and Indicators of Effective Administrative Leadership

### School-Level Administrator Rubric

<b>Indicator IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district/school community.</b>				
<b>IV-F. Elements</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Needs Improvement</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Exemplary</b>
IV-F-1. Response to Disagreement	Does not respond to disagreement or dissent and/or does not use appropriate, respectful, non-confrontational approaches.	May respond respectfully to disagreement and dissent, but responds inconsistently and does not always employ a non-confrontational approach	<b>Employs a non-confrontational approach for responding respectfully and appropriately to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning</b>	Models a variety of strategies for responding respectfully and effectively to disagreement and dissent, using both as opportunities for learning. Is able to model this element.
IV-F-2. Conflict Resolution	Does not address conflicts in a solution-oriented and/or respectful manner.	May attempt to respectfully resolve conflicts as they arise, but employs only a limited range of strategies.	<b>Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner.</b>	Consistently employs a variety of strategies to resolve conflicts in a constructive and respectful manner and empowers staff to use these approaches. Is able to model this element.
IV-F-3. Consensus Building	Does not attempt to build consensus within the school community, or attempts at consensus-building around critical school decisions are unsuccessful.	Employs a limited number of strategies to build consensus within the school community, with varying degrees of success.	<b>Builds consensus within the school community around critical school decisions, employing a variety of strategies.</b>	Employs a variety of strategies to build consensus within the school community around critical school decisions while encouraging dialogue and different points of view. Is able to model this element.